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Alarms for Indication of Carbon Bed Fires

• Temperature, measured by thermocouple
  – High and High-High alarm levels
  – Dependent on location of thermocouple in relation to “thermal excursion”
  – May indicate only a fully developed fire

• Carbon monoxide levels
  – More sensitive, only one alarm “level” required
  – May be impacted by background CO levels
Initial Tests

• Modified wind tunnel with adjustable plenum, fitted with a 24 inch x 24 inch, 4 inch deep carbon adsorber
• Carbon adsorber filled with standard co-impregnated carbon (KI and TEDA)
• Inlet air heated by an indirect fired natural gas furnace
• Inlet and outlet temperatures monitored 4 inches from filter inlet and outlet
• Carbon monoxide monitored 24 inches downstream of filter outlet
• Heat-up was carried out as quickly as possible
Deep Bed Carbon Ignition Test

Velocity ≈ 40 FPM
- At 11 minutes CO levels reached 50 ppm
- CO levels sharply increase at inlet temp of 175 °C
- Fire in carbon bed estimated at 19:15 to 19:45 minutes
- At 23 minutes, smoke observed in exhaust
- At 23 minutes, outlet air temperature 375 °C
- At 23 minutes, filter housing glowing orange
Observations from Deep Bed Ignition Experiment

• Carbon monoxide level monitoring effectively indicates a thermal excursion prior to bed ignition
• Shutting down system (stopping air flow) effectively limits spread of fire even with natural convection currents present (not fully isolated from outside atmosphere)
Type II Tray Carbon Bed Ignition Studies

• Carried out in a wind tunnel built for performing mechanical leak testing of individual Type II filters
• Airflow consistent with typical air cleaning system operational conditions (≈ 333 SCFM, ≈ 40 FPM)
Differences Between Deep Bed and Type II Tray Experiments

• Filter type
• Thermocouple locations
  – Inlet and outlet air temperatures measured in both experiments
  – Additional measurement points within the adsorber used in the Type II tray experiment
• Ignition source
  – Deep bed experiment – hot air
  – Type II Tray experiment – cartridge heater, “hot spot” ignition point
Type II Tray With Thermocouple and Heater Locations
Test Wind Tunnel

Thermocouple #12

Thermocouple #10

Thermocouple #11
Experimental

- Type II tray, with thermocouples and cartridge heater in place secured in wind tunnel
- System operated for approximately 3 to 5 minutes to determine initial temperature and CO levels
- Cartridge heater powered on
- Cartridge heater powered off after reaching ignition point
- System allowed to run until “obvious” signs of thermal excursion observed
- System shut down and monitored until safe
Type II Tray Ignition Studies, Temperature Vs. Time

Flow ≈ 333 SCFM
Velocity ≈ 40 FPM

1. Cartridge heater powered on
2. Carbon monoxide levels reach 50 ppm
3. Cartridge heater powered off
4. Maximum initial temperature spike prior to observable signs of "thermal excursion"
5. Tray corner dull orange, gasket material on fire, smoke in exhaust. System shut-down

Flow ≈ 333 SCFM
Velocity ≈ 40 FPM

- 2.75 inches from ignition point
- 3.75 inches from ignition point
- 7.75 inches from ignition point
- 11.75 inches from ignition point

Temperature °C

Time (min)
Type II Tray Ignition Studies, Temperature Vs Time and CO Concentration

Flow ≈ 333 SCFM
Velocity ≈ 40 FPM

1. Cartridge heater powered on
2. Carbon monoxide levels reach 50 ppm
3. Cartridge heater powered off
4. Maximum initial temperature spike prior to observable signs of "thermal excursion"
5. Tray corner dull orange, gasket material on fire, smoke in exhaust
   System shut-down

CO Level

1. 50 ppm CO alarm level
   T = 5 min

2. 2.75 inches from ignition point

3. 3.75 inches from ignition point

4. 7.75 inches from ignition point
Type II Tray Ignition Studies, Temperature Vs Time and CO Concentration

- 50 ppm CO alarm level
- T = 5 min
- System outlet
- System inlet
- Distance From Ignition Point:
  - 15.75 inches
  - 19.75 inches
  - 24.75 inches
Metal Discoloration
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Conclusions

- CO monitoring is effective as a means of fire detection in adsorber beds.
- Thermocouples used for fire detection in adsorber beds may only be useful if they are located where the fire starts.
- Thermocouples downstream of an adsorber bank may only indicate a fire once the problem reaches extreme levels.
- Stopping air flow stops the fire (no more oxygen).
- High and High-High temperature alarm points may not be as useful for fire detection in adsorber beds as simple CO monitoring.
Questions?